

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. Claim Amendments

The Applicants have canceled claims 1-22 and have added new claims 23-44. No new matter has been added. Accordingly, claims 23-44 are pending in the application. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

2. Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 101

The Examiner rejected claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-8 have been canceled, rendering the rejection moot.

3. Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Stewart et al.*, (USPubN: 2001/0039570, hereinafter Stewart). Claims 1-22 have been canceled and new claims 23-44 have been added to more fully distinguish the present invention from Stewart. The Stewart application discloses an *enterprise wide electronic commerce system* that allows trading partners to act as participants in a complex trading process. Participants communicate with one another by joining conversations that are hosted in a collaboration space and managed by a pluggable collaboration hub. The system includes a hub transport for receiving messages from participants and sending messages to participants, a hub router for routing messages from a first participant to a second participant, a hub scheduler for scheduling the flow of messages between the hub router and the hub transport, a conversation manager for managing the flow of messages between participants, and a repository for storing conversation management data. While Stewart's collaboration system supports B2B infrastructure software, it cannot be entirely implemented in a single mobile terminal as is the claimed invention. All of the elements of the present invention reside within a mobile platform

terminal, whereas the functionality of Stewart is distributed across a complex electronic commerce system.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicants believe all of the claims currently pending in the Application to be in a condition for allowance. The Applicants, therefore, respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance for claims 23-44.

The Applicants request a telephonic interview if the Examiner has any questions or requires any additional information that would further or expedite the prosecution of the Application.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael Cameron
Registration No. 50,298

Date: October 31, 2007

Ericsson Inc.
6300 Legacy Drive, M/S EVR 1-C-11
Plano, Texas 75024

(972) 583-4145
michael.cameron@ericsson.com